

EXHIBIT 2

LAURA VARTAIN (SBN: 258485)
laura.vartain@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street, 30th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 439-1625

ALLISON M. BROWN (Pro Hac Vice admitted)
allison.brown@kirkland.com
JESSICA DAVIDSON (Pro Hac Vice admitted)
jessica.davidson@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4723

Attorneys for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION**

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

This Document Relates to:

ALL MATTERS

**DECLARATION OF VERONICA HAYES
GROMADA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
LETTER BRIEF REGARDING
BELLWETHER WRITTEN DISCOVERY**

Judge: Hon. Lisa J. Cisneros
Courtroom: G - 15th Floor

1 I, Veronica Hayes Gromada, declare:

2 1. I am an attorney in the law firm of Shook, Hardy & Bacon, L.L.P. I am a member of
 3 the State Bars of Texas and Washington, D.C. and am admitted to practice pro hac vice before this
 4 Court. I know the following facts to be true of my own knowledge, except those matters stated to be
 5 based on information and belief. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently to the
 6 truth of the matters stated herein.

7 2. I respectfully submit this declaration in support of Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs'
 8 Letter Regarding Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Bellwether Discovery Requests. ECF 3069.

9 3. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the Requests for Production served
 10 by Plaintiff B.L., containing 92 defined terms and 57 requests.

11 4. Attached as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the First Set of Interrogatories of
 12 Plaintiff B.L., containing 70 defined terms and a single-numbered interrogatory asking for voluminous
 13 marketing materials over a ten year period.

14 5. Attached as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of the Second Set of Interrogatories
 15 of Plaintiff B.L., containing 70 defined terms and 21 interrogatories. Defendants agreed to treat this
 16 set of interrogatories as 21 requests for purposes of analysis under Rule 33(a)(1), despite the compound
 17 nature of many of them.

18 6. Attached as **Exhibit D** is a chart reflecting Plaintiffs' discovery requests *and*
 19 *Defendants' responses* along with Defendants' response to the deficiency Plaintiffs allege.

20 7. Attached are true and correct copies of the following transmittal letters:

21 a. **Exhibit E:** May 15, 2025 transmittal letter identifying by Bates number
 22 "Driver and Rider rating and feedback documents, identified by MDL Centrality ID."

23 b. **Exhibit F:** May 15, 2025 transmittal letter identifying by Bates number "Driver
 24 and Rider mobile events documents, identified by MDL Centrality ID."

25 c. **Exhibit G:** May 16, 2025 transmittal letter identifying by Bates number
 26 "Snapshots of the following user interfaces: Rider Tools, Driver Tools, Safety Lens (not linked
 27 in Jiras), and Voyager, related to Bellwether plaintiffs as riders and independent drivers, as

1 well as independent drivers connected to subject trips, identified by MDL Centrality ID.”

2 d. **Exhibit H:** May 20, 2025 transmittal letter identifying by Bates number
 3 “Updated driver account status logs (‘Driver Status and Flow’) for the Bellwether plaintiffs
 4 who also worked as independent drivers, and independent drivers related to subject trips,
 5 produced as a supplement to the logs produced in Vol. MDL-BW007, and updated per
 6 Plaintiffs’ request with the ‘flow type’ fields.”

7 e. **Exhibit I:** May 12, 2025 transmittal letter identifying by Bates number “Terms
 8 of Use to which Bellwether Plaintiffs assented, and Checkbox Consent History for the
 9 Bellwether Plaintiffs.”

10 f. **Exhibit J:** May 13, 2025 transmittal letter identifying by Bates number
 11 “Overlay file for communication log documents previously produced in volume MDL-
 12 BW001.” This transmittal letter added the Bellwether Plaintiffs’ MDL Centrality ID metadata
 13 for previously produced documents.

14 g. **Exhibit K:** May 13, 2025 transmittal letter identifying by Bates number
 15 “Agreements identified in the Agreement Logs of independent drivers reported against in
 16 connection with subject trips, identified by MDL Centrality ID.”

17 h. **Exhibit L:** May 16, 2025 transmittal letter identifying by Bates number
 18 “Documents related to Plaintiffs as independent drivers including: agreements, account status
 19 logs as riders and independent drivers, updated independent driver account status logs, driver
 20 feedback and ratings, and mobile events, identified by MDL Centrality ID.”

21 i. **Exhibit M:** May 21, 2025 transmittal letter identifying by Bates number “Bliss
 22 communications related to Wave 1 Bellwether Plaintiffs, and independent drivers connected
 23 to Wave 1 Bellwether subject trips.”

24 8. Plaintiffs’ bellwether discovery requests have been the subject of numerous conferrals
 25 of counsel, including on April 2, April 11, April 16, May 2, May 8, May 15, May 16, and May 18,
 26 2025.

27 9. I attended the April 2, 2025 conferral with Plaintiffs. In this conferral, I reiterated that

1 Defendants were processing communications logs for each of the Bellwether Plaintiffs and associated
 2 independent drivers for production to Plaintiffs.

3 10. During the April 11, 2025 conferral, Plaintiffs requested location data for riders, and
 4 Defendants discussed the limits of available location data for riders. I was unable to attend this
 5 conferral, but discussed it extensively with my colleague who was able to confer with Plaintiffs.

6 11. During the April 16, 2025 conferral with Plaintiffs, the parties discussed Plaintiffs'
 7 misinterpretations regarding Defendants' Voyager mapping software, and specifically requested
 8 location data for the subject trip, along with associated information such as app status, and including
 9 such information prior to the ride and following the ride. Plaintiffs also requested Defendants adjust
 10 the format of the account status logs from the format provided in the Defendant Fact Sheet. I was
 11 unable to attend this conferral, but discussed it extensively with my colleague who was able to confer
 12 with Plaintiffs. Moreover, Defendants agreed and have already made this production.

13 12. I attended the May 2, 2025 conferral with Plaintiffs. In this conferral, Plaintiffs
 14 identified the following their top priorities, with a request for Defendants to produce this information
 15 by May 16: communications logs for Plaintiffs and independent drivers, rides logs for Plaintiffs and
 16 independent drivers, location information for Plaintiffs and independent driver along with associated
 17 events (which Plaintiffs appear to refer to as "telematics"), Star ratings with associated well as user
 18 feedback provided for independent drivers, and summary snapshots of user interfaces such as Safety
 19 Lens. Defendants made these productions on a rolling basis through May 16, 2025.

20 13. During the May 2, 2025 conferral Defendants also agreed to engage engineering efforts
 21 to create custom reports reflecting Plaintiffs' requests, grounded in the data as stored in Defendants'
 22 systems in the ordinary course of business, and produce this information on a rolling basis. Specifically
 23 Defendants agreed, and Plaintiffs acknowledged over email, that Defendants' response to RFP 14
 24 would consist of ride logs showing independent drivers' feedback and ratings, and the communications
 25 logs. I was unable to attend this conferral, but discussed it extensively with my colleague who was
 26 able to confer with Plaintiffs. Defendants made these productions on a rolling basis through May 16,
 27 2025.

1 14. On this May 2, 2025 conferral, Plaintiffs also requested snapshots of user interfaces
 2 that provide summary information. Defendants reminded Plaintiffs that Safety Lens snapshots linked
 3 in Jiras produced with Defendant Fact Sheets were already produced pursuant to the Court's Order,
 4 and further agreed to produce this information for any Bellwether Plaintiff or independent driver
 5 whose Defendant Fact Sheet did not include a Jira containing hyperlinks to these user interfaces. The
 6 Parties also discussed the count of Plaintiffs' interrogatories, which were often compound such that
 7 the number of discrete requests exceeded the 25 interrogatories permitted without leave of Court under
 8 Rule 33(a)(1) and the associated motion and memorandum required under Local Rule 33-3, and
 9 potential approaches to address this defect without Defendants' objections.

10 15. I attended the May 8, 2025 conferral with Plaintiffs. In this conferral, the Parties agreed
 11 Defendants would include the MDL Centrality ID in the metadata of each document, so Plaintiffs'
 12 vendor could track which documents relate to which individual Plaintiff. Plaintiffs noted that the
 13 communications logs produced did not include MDL Centrality ID metadata, and Defendants agreed
 14 to provide a metadata overlay, and have done so. In this conferral Plaintiffs also began asking for
 15 identification of documents by Bates number.

16 16. I attended a May 15, 2025 conferral with Plaintiffs. While this conferral largely focused
 17 on 30(b)(6) deposition related responses and objections, Plaintiffs raised a clarification of what they
 18 meant by "offer card," and Defendants referenced that this information does not exist in the form
 19 Plaintiffs believe it to exist.

20 17. I attended the May 16, 2025 conferral with Plaintiffs. While this conferral largely
 21 focused on interrogatories related to rider privacy subject to separate briefing already before the Court
 22 (ECF 3074) as well as issues the Parties agree have been resolved, Defendants did confirm that
 23 supplemental interrogatory responses will be provided that day, pursuant to the Parties' agreement.

24 18. On the night of May 16, 2025, Plaintiffs emailed to request an "emergency meet and
 25 confer" on the afternoon or evening of Sunday, May 18, regarding Bellwether productions. I was
 26 unable to attend this conferral, but discussed it extensively with my colleagues who were able to confer
 27 with Plaintiffs. In this conferral, Plaintiffs asked for general information about terms in the account

1 status log and mobile events logs, as well as background check adjudication and RideCheck. Plaintiffs
 2 also addressed their contention interrogatories. Plaintiffs also requested additional information in the
 3 account status logs, which Defendants agreed to provide (and have provided). Lastly, the Parties
 4 walked through the communications log and Plaintiffs confirmed that resolved their issues related to
 5 these logs. Plaintiffs also confirmed they had no issues with the trip log and feedback and ratings logs
 6 Defendants created for Plaintiffs in this litigation.

7 19. On Tuesday, May 20, 2025, Plaintiffs emailed Defendants asserting, inter alia, that
 8 Plaintiffs did not have “safety lenses.” Defendants responded by, inter alia, attaching the production
 9 transmittal communication informing Plaintiffs of Defendants’ production of these documents by
 10 volume and Bates range.

11 20. Defendants have produced numerous documents individualized to each Bellwether
 12 Plaintiff in response to their written discovery requests, in addition to the individualized documents
 13 previously produced in connection with the Defendant Fact Sheets.

14 21. Defendants have provided the following information for each bellwether Plaintiff: (a)
 15 all agreements, in every applicable version, entered into by Bellwethers; (b) a log indicating
 16 Bellwethers’ date of assent to each agreement; and the subject independent drivers; (c) all agreements,
 17 in every applicable version, entered into by subject independent drivers; (d) an agreement log
 18 indicating the subject independent driver’s asset to the above agreements (e) Safety Lens snapshots
 19 for Bellwethers and independent drivers that were not linked in a Jira produced in DFS; (e)
 20 Investigations Workbench (formerly Driver Tools and Rider Tools) snapshots for every Bellwether
 21 Plaintiff and independent driver connected to a subject trip, to the extent these interfaces were not
 22 previously produced;¹ (f) all available tickets and communications related to Wave 1 Bellwether
 23 plaintiffs, regardless of subject matter; (g) all available tickets and communications related to subject
 24 drivers in Wave 1 cases, that were not responsive to Defendant Fact Sheet questions (e.g. speeding,

25
 26 ¹Defendants are following the Court’s guidance as to Safety Lens user interface snapshots as
 27 provided in ECF 2825 in good faith, and producing snapshots of other user interfaces linked in Jiras
 as part of DFS as well.

1 dangerous driving).

2 22. Defendants have generated for the following custom reports-in a filterable and
 3 searchable format-for each bellwether Plaintiff and the independent driver at issue: provided the
 4 following information: (a) a communications log containing all available inbound and outbound
 5 communications (email, push, text) between Defendants and the Bellwether, including marketing (and
 6 all in-app communications with their parties such as independent drivers; (b) if the Bellwether also
 7 accepted rides on the Uber platform as an independent driver (6 total), any related communications
 8 are also included (c) a trip log including all available trips completed, as well as Rides cancelled by
 9 either the Rider or the independent driver, Star rating for each trip, and any feedback from the
 10 independent driver; (d) a mobile events log including all interactions between the Plaintiff and the
 11 Uber App, covering a time span starting 24 hours prior to the start of the subject trip, and ending 24
 12 hours after completion of the subject trip, which includes location data and speed at each interaction
 13 (e) a Status and Flow account status log showing the status of each independent driver over time; (f)
 14 a communications log containing all available inbound and outbound communications (email, push,
 15 text) between Defendants and the independent driver, including marketing (g) a mobile events log
 16 including all interactions between the independent driver and the Uber App, covering a time span
 17 starting 24 hours prior to the start of the subject trip, and ending 24 hours after completion of the
 18 subject trip, which includes location data for each event.

19 23. Further productions, which Defendants were able to gather after meet and confers in
 20 which requests were narrowed and explained, are forthcoming: (a) available audio recordings
 21 associated with Bliss tickets related to Wave 1 Bellwether Plaintiffs, not related to the subject trip;
 22 (b) available audio recordings associated with Bliss tickets for the subject independent driver, that
 23 were out of the scope of the Defendant Fact Sheet, *i.e.* not related to the subject trip; (c) available Bliss
 24 tickets related to the other Bellwether Plaintiffs, not related to the subject trip; (e) available audio
 25 recordings associated with the Bliss tickets related to the other Bellwether Defendants, not related to
 26 the subject trip; (f) historical information related to subject independent drivers' device usage.

27 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

1 Executed this 29th day of May, 2025 in Houston, Texas.

2
3 */s/ Veronica Hayes Gromada*
4 Veronica Hayes Gromada
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28